*Chin. Ann. Math.*
**24B**:2(2003),215–220.

# NOTE ON REGULAR *D*-OPTIMAL MATRICES**

LI QIAOLIANG*

**Abstract**

Let $A$ be a $j \times d$ $(0,1)$ matrix. It is known that if $j = 2k-1$ is odd, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$; if $j$ is even, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. $A$ is called a regular $D$-optimal matrix if it satisfies the equality of the above bounds. In this note, it is proved that if $j = 2k-1$ is odd, then $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix if and only if $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$-BIBD; if $j = 2k$ is even, then $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix if and only if $A$ can be obtained from the adjacent matrix $B$ of a $(2k+1, k+1, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$-BIBD by deleting any one row from $B$. Three $21 \times 42$ regular $D$-optimal matrices, which were unknown in [11], are also provided.

**Keywords** Regular $D$-optimal matrices, Simplex, Weighing design
**2000 MR Subject Classification** 62K05, 05B05, 05B20, 15A15
**Chinese Library Classification** O187.2 **Document Code** A
**Article ID** 0252-9599(2003)02-0215-06

## §1. Introduction

Let $M_{j,d}(0,1)$ be the set of all $j \times d$ $(0,1)$ matrices. The problem of finding the maximum value of $\det(AA^T)$ for all $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ has received considerable attention over the past decade primarily for its significance in finding a $j$-simplex of the maximum volume in the $d$-dimensional unit cube and in statistical design theory[6,7].

The matrices $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ such that $\det(AA^T)$ is maximum are called $D$-optimal matrices. Few results are known for $D$-optimal matrices. In [10, 11], Neubauer, Watkins and Zeitlin proved that for $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$, if $j = 2k-1$ is odd, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$; if $j = 2k$ is even, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. They defined that a $D$-optimal matrix $A$ is regular if it satisfies the equality of the above bounds. Some new infinitely families of regular $D$-optimal matrices are constructed by Hadamard matrices and supplementary difference sets[11].

The purpose of this note is to show that if $j = 2k-1$, then a matrix $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix if and only if $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$-BIBD (the definition of BIBD can be seen in Definition 2.2 below); if $j = 2k$, then a $(0,1)$ matrix $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix if and only if $A$ can be obtained from the adjacent matrix $B$ of a $(2k+1, k+1, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$-BIBD by deleting any one row

Manuscript received January 29, 2002.

*Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China.
Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. **E-mail:** liqiaoliang@eyou.com

**Project supported by the Science Foundation of China for Postdoctors (No.5(2001)).

© 1995-2004 Tsinghua Tongfang Optical Disc Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.

from $B$. We also provide three $21 \times 42$ regular $D$-optimal matrices, which were unknown in [11].

## §2. Preliminaries

We begin this section with some definitions on design theory and some relative results.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let $v, \lambda$ be positive integers and $K \subseteq N$ be a set of positive integers. A $(v, K, \lambda)$ pairwise balanced design, denoted by $(v, K, \lambda)$-PBD, is a finite collection $\mathbf{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_b\}$ of subsets of $X = \{1, 2, \cdots, v\}$ such that*

(1) *each pair of elements $i, j \in X$ occurs in exactly $\lambda$ blocks in $\mathbf{B}$, and*

(2) *for each $B_i \in \mathbf{B}$, $|B_i| \in K$.*

**Definition 2.2.** *Let $v, k, \lambda$ be positive integers with $k < v$. A balanced incomplete block design, denoted by $(v, k, \lambda)$-BIBD, is a finite collection $\mathbf{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_b\}$ of subsets of $\{1, 2, \cdots, v\}$ such that*

(1) *each $B_j$ has cardinality $k$, and*

(2) *each pair $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, v\}$ occurs in exactly $\lambda$ subsets in $\mathbf{B}$.*

Obviously, a BIBD is a special PBD.

It is an elementary result in block design theory that if $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$ is a $(v, k, \lambda)$-BIBD, then each element $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, v\}$ occurs in the same number $r$ of subsets in $\mathbf{B}$ and that $b = |\mathbf{B}|$ and $r$ satisfies the conditions

$$\lambda(v-1) = r(k-1), \quad bk = vr. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus $b$ and $r$ are determined by the other three parameters $v, k, \lambda$ of the design. We sometimes refer to a $(v, k, \lambda)$-BIBD as a $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$-BIBD.

The incidence matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of a $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$-BIBD $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$ is defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in B_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is well known that a $(0, 1)$ matrix $A$ is the incidence matrix of a $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$-BIBD if and only if the following hold

$$AA^T = (r - \lambda)I_v + \lambda J_v, \tag{2.2}$$

$$J_v A = kJ_{v,b}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $I_v$ denotes the identity matrix of order $v$ and $J_{v,b}$ denotes $v \times b$ matrix with all its entries 1.

Now we mention the known upper bounds for $\det(AA^T)$ separated into the cases $-$ $j$ odd and $j$ even.

**Lemma 2.1.**[11] *If $j = 2k - 1$ is odd and $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$, then*

$$\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)\left(\frac{(j+1)d}{4j}\right)^j.$$

*Equality holds if and only if*

(1) *$AA^T = t(I + J)$ for some integer $t$, and either of the following conditions are met:*

(2a) *each column of $A$ contains exactly $k$ ones*

*or*

© 1995-2004 Tsinghua Tongfang Optical Disc Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.

(2b) $t = (j+1)d/4j$.

**Lemma 2.2.**[11] *If $j = 2k$ is even and $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$, then*

$$\det(AA^T) \le (j+1)\Big(\frac{(j+2)d}{4(j+1)}\Big)^j.$$

*Equality holds if and only if*

(1) $AA^T = t(I+J)$ *for some integer $t$, and either of the following conditions are met:*

(2a) *each column of $A$ contains either $k$ or $k+1$ ones*

*or*

(2b) $t = (j+2)d/4(j+1)$.

**Lemma 2.3.**[11] (1) *Assume that $j = 2k-1$ is odd and $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ contains a column with fewer than $k$ or more than $k$ ones. Then*

$$\det(AA^T) \le \Big(\frac{1}{j+1}\Big)^{j-1}\Big(\frac{k^2d-1}{j}\Big)^j. \tag{2.4}$$

(2) *Assume that $j = 2k$ and $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ contains a column with fewer than $k$ or more than $k+1$ ones. Then*

$$\det(AA^T) \le \Big(\frac{1}{j+1}\Big)^{j-1}\Big(\frac{k(k+1)d-2}{j}\Big)^j. \tag{2.5}$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$ is a $(v, \{k, k+1\}, \lambda)$-PBD satisfying*

(1) *each point of $X$ occurs in exactly $r$ blocks;*

(2) *there are $r$ blocks of size $k$.*

*Then, by adding a new point $y$ to $X$ and to all size $k$ blocks, we obtain a $(v+1, k+1, \lambda)$-BIBD.*

**Proof.** Let $x \in X$ and suppose that there are $a_x$ and $b_x$ blocks of size $k+1$ and $k$ respectively, containing $x$. It is obvious that

$$a_x + b_x = r. \tag{2.6}$$

Considering all the pairs containing $x$, we have

$$ka_x + (k-1)b_x = \lambda(v-1). \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$b_x = rk - \lambda(v-1). \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, $b_x$ is a constant number independent of $x$, and so is $a_x$.

Let $|\mathbf{B}| = b$. There are $b-r$ blocks of size $k+1$. From size $k+1$ blocks, we get $(b-r)\binom{k+1}{2}$ pairs. From size $k$ blocks, we get $r\binom{k}{2}$ pairs. Hence we have

$$(b-r)\binom{k+1}{2} + r\binom{k}{2} = \lambda\binom{v}{2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Since each point of $X$ appears in exactly $r$ blocks, we get

$$rk + (b-r)(k+1) = vr. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$rk = \lambda v. \tag{2.11}$$

Further from (2.8), we get

$$b_x = \lambda.$$

© 1995-2004 Tsinghua Tongfang Optical Disc Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.

This means that each point $x \in X$ appears in $\lambda$ blocks of size $k$. Thus for each $x \in X$, the pair {x, y} occurs in exactly $\lambda$ blocks. The conclusion then follows.

## §3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $j = 2k-1$ be an odd integer and $A \in M_{j,d}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix, i.e.,* $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$.

(2) *$A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$-BIBD.*

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$-BIBD. By (2.2), $AA^T = (j+1)d/4j(I_j + J_j)$, it follows that $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$, i.e., $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Now we assume that $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix, i.e., $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$AA^T = t(I+J) \text{ for some positive integer } t.$$

We prove that each column of $A$ contains exactly $k$ ones and that $t = (j+1)d/4j$.

First we prove that each column of $A$ contains exactly $k$ ones.

Suppose, on the contrary, $A$ contains a column with fewer than $k$ ones or more than $k$ ones. Then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\det(AA^T) \le \Big(\frac{1}{j+1}\Big)^{j-1}\Big(\frac{k^2d-1}{j}\Big)^j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(j+1)\Big(\frac{(j+1)d}{4j}\Big)^j - \Big(\frac{1}{j+1}\Big)^{j-1}\Big(\frac{k^2d-1}{j}\Big)^j \\
&= \frac{(j+1)^{(j+1)}d^j(j+1)^{(j-1)} - (k^2d-1)^j4^j}{(4j)^j(j+1)^{j-1}} \\
&= \frac{(j+1)^{2j}d^j - ((\frac{j+1}{2})^2d-1)^j4^j}{4^jj^j(j+1)^{j-1}} \\
&> \frac{(j+1)^{2j}d^j - ((\frac{j+1}{2})^2d)^j4^j}{4^jj^j(j+1)^{j-1}} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$\det(AA^T) < (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$. This contradicts the assumption that $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix. Thus each column of $A$ contains exactly $k$ ones. By (2.2) and (2.3), $A$ is an adjacent matrix of some $(2k-1, k, t)$-BIBD with $r = 2t$.

Now we prove that $t = (j+1)d/4j$. By (2.1), we have $2t(2k-1) = dk$. It follows that

$$2t = \frac{dk}{2k-1} = \frac{d(j+1)}{2j}.$$

Thus $t = d(j+1)/4j$. So $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$-BIBD and Theorem 3.1 is now proved.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $j = 2k$ be even and $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix, i.e.,* $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$.

© 1995-2004 Tsinghua Tongfang Optical Disc Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.

(2) *$A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(j,\{k,k+1\},(j+2)d/4(j+1))$- PBD, say $\mathcal{B}=(X,\mathbf{B})$, which satisfies the following conditions:*

(2a) *each point of $X$ appears in exactly $(j+2)d/2(j+1)$ blocks; and*

(2b) *there are $(j+2)d/2(j+1)$ blocks of size $k$.*

(3) *$A$ is a matrix obtained from the adjacent matrix $B$ of a $(2k+1,k+1,(j+2)d/4(j+1))$-BIBD by deleting any one row from $B$.*

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix, i.e., $\det(AA^T)=(j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$AA^T=t(I_j+J_j) \quad \text{for some positive integer } t.$$

In order to prove that $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(j,\{k,k+1\},(j+2)d/4(j+1))$-PBD which satisfies the conditions (2a) and (2b), we first prove that each column of $A$ contains exactly $k$ or $k+1$ ones.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $A$ contains a column with fewer than $k$ or more than $k+1$ ones. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\det(AA^T)\le\Big(\frac{1}{j+1}\Big)^{j-1}\Big(\frac{k(k+1)d-2}{j}\Big)^j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&(j+1)\Big(\frac{(j+2)d}{4(j+1)}\Big)^j-\Big(\frac{1}{j+1}\Big)^{j-1}\Big(\frac{k(k+1)d-2}{j}\Big)^j\\&=\frac{j^j(j+2)^jd^j-(\frac{j(j+2)}{4}d-2)^j4^j}{4^j(j+1)^{j-1}j^j}\\&>\frac{j^j(j+2)^jd^j-(j(j+2))^jd^j}{4^j(j+1)^{j-1}j^j}\\&=0,\end{aligned}$$

$\det(AA^T)<(j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. This contradicts the assumption that $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix. Thus each column of $A$ contains either $k$ or $k+1$ ones.

Thus $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(j,\{k,k+1\},t)$-PBD. Let the PBD be $\mathcal{B}=(X,\mathbf{B})$. Since $AA^T=t(I_j+J_j)$, each point in $X$ appears in exactly $2t$ blocks.

Now we determine the parameter $t$ and show that there are $(j+2)d/2(j+1)$ blocks of size $k$.

Assume that there are $m$ blocks in $\mathbf{B}$ of size $k$. From the blocks of size $k$, we get $m\binom{k}{2}$ pairs, and from the blocks of size $k+1$, we get $(d-m)\binom{k+1}{2}$ pairs. So

$$mk+(d-m)(k+1)=2tj,$$

$$m\binom{k}{2}+(d-m)\binom{k+1}{2}=t\binom{j}{2}.$$

It follows that $t=(j+2)d/4(j+1)$ and $m=(j+2)d/2(j+1)$. Thus $A$ is the adjacent matrix of a $(j,\{k,k+1\},(j+2)d/4(j+1))$-PBD which satisfies the conditions (2a) and (2b).

(2)$\Rightarrow$ (3). By Lemma 2.4.

(3)$\Rightarrow$ (1). $A$ is a matrix obtained from the adjacent matrix, say $\mathcal{B}$, of a $(j+1,k+1,(j+2)d/4(j+1))$-BIBD, then $BB^T=(j+2)d/4(j+1)(I_{j+1}+J_{j+1})$. It follows that $AA^T=(j+2)d/4(j+1)(I_j+J_j)$. Thus $\det(AA^T)=(j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$, i.e., $A$ is a regular $D$-optimal matrix. Theorem 3.2 is now proved.

© 1995-2004 Tsinghua Tongfang Optical Disc Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.

Now we give three $D$-optimal $21 \times 42$ optimal matrices, which were unknown for the authors in [11] (see [11, p.115]). In [3], the authors gave three $(21, 42, 20, 10, 9)$-BIBD. The initial blocks of three solutions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_1: \quad & A_1 = (0,1,2,4,5,8,9,12,14,20), \\ & B_1 = (0,5,8,10,12,14,15,18,19,20); \\ D_2: \quad & A_2 = (0,1,4,5,7,8,9,10,17,18), \\ & B_2 = B_1; \\ D_3: \quad & A_3 = (0,1,2,4,6,7,9,10,17,18), \\ & B_3 = (0,4,5,7,11,13,14,15,16,19). \end{aligned}$$

The supplementary design of the three BIBDs are $(21, 42, 22, 11, 11)$-BIBDs. By Theorem 3.1, we get three $21 \times 42$ regular $D$-optimal matrices.

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to thank Prof. L. Zhu for providing him the proof of Lemma 2.4.

## REFERENCES

[1] Abel, R. & Greig, M., BIBDs with small block size, in The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (eds.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
[2] Beth, T., Jungnickel, D. & Lenz, H., Design theory, Combridge University Press, London, 1986.
[3] Bhat-Nayak, V., Wirmani-Prasad, A., Note: Three new dicyclic solutions of $(21, 42, 20, 10, 9)$-designs, *J. Combib. Theory, Ser. A*, **40**(1985), 427–428.
[4] Craigen, R., Hadamard matrices and designs, in The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (eds.), CRC Press, Doca Raton, 1996.
[5] Hall, M., Combinatorial theory, Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1967.
[6] Hotelling, H., Some improvements in weighting and other experiment techniques, *Annals of Math. Stat.*, **15**(1944), 297–306.
[7] Hudelson, M., Klee, V. & Larman, D., Largest $j$-simplices in $d$-cubes: Some relatives of the Hadamard maximum determinant problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **241–243**(1996), 519–598.
[8] Mathon, R. & Rosa, A., 2-$(v, k, \lambda)$ designs of small order, in The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (eds.), CRC Press, Doca Raton, 1996.
[9] Neubauer, M. & Radelifle, A. J., The maximum determant of $(\pm 1)$ matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **257**(1997), 289–306.
[10] Neubauer, M., Watkins, W. & Zeitlin, J., Maximum $j$-simplices in the real $d$-dimensional unit cube, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **80**(1997), 1–12.
[11] Neubauer, M., Watkins, W. & Zeitlin, J., Notes on $D$-optimal designs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **280**(1998), 109–127.
[12] Zhu, L., Some recent developments of BIBDs and related designs, *Discrete Math.*, **123**(1993), 189–214.

© 1995-2004 Tsinghua Tongfang Optical Disc Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.